UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/572,679	01/08/2007	Shigeyuki Ono	P71174US0	4502
JACOBSON HO	7590 02/02/200 OLMAN PLLC	EXAMINER		
400 SEVENTH STREET N.W. SUITE 600 WASHINGTON, DC 20004			LOUDEN, CLIFFORD J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3679	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/02/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	10/572,679	ONO ET AL.	
interview Summary	Examiner	e] N/A. o if an agreement was chulz et al. Specificate be a result of the given frecord. Claim 2 as greed would render to would render the claim 2 been filed, APPLICY DAYS FROM THIS WHICHEVER IS LA	
	CLIFFORD J. LOUDEN	3679	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):		
(1) <u>CLIFFORD J. LOUDEN</u> .	(3) <u>JOHN SCHERER</u> .		
(2) <u>GREGORY BINDA</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 29 January 2009.			
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☒ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2	2)⊠ applicant's representative	e]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.		
Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 2 as proposed by applicant on to	he attached sheets.		
Identification of prior art discussed: Schulz et al. (US55295	538) & Okuyama (US5558580)	<u>)</u> .	
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g	g)∏ was not reached. h)∏ N	I/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: Claim 1 as proposed was the diagrams do not give a clear view of any changes in he perspective view. It was agreed that the claim appears to proposed was discussed in reference to 112, 2nd issues, we (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendallowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERPUTE OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERPUTEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF	s discussed in reference to Sc eight and what is shown may be distinguish over the prior art of hich have been overcome. Iments which the examiner ag copy of the amendments that vertically ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE elast Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM,	thulz et al. Special pe a result of the frecord. Claim 2 reed would render the sould render the frecord special period of the frecord of the	ifically in that given 2 as er the claims claims CF THE LICANT IS THIS LATER, TO
/Greg Binda/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3679			

Application No.

Applicant(s)